Model selection techniques for the frequency analysis of hydrological extremes: the MSClaio2008 R function

Alberto Viglione

Abstract

The frequency analysis of hydrological extremes requires fitting a probability distribution to the observed data to suitably represent the frequency of occurrence of rare events. The choice of the model to be used for statistical inference is often based on subjective criteria, or it is considered a matter of probabilistic hypotheses testing. In contrast, specific tools for model selection, like the well known Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC), are seldom used in hydrological applications. The paper of Laio et al. (2008) verifies whether the AIC and BIC work correctly when they are applied for identifying the probability distribution of hydrological extremes, i.e. when the available samples are small and the parent distribution is highly asymmetric. An additional model selection criterion, based on the Anderson-Darling goodness-of-fit test statistic, is proposed, and the performances of the three methods are compared trough an extensive numerical analysis. In this brief document, an application of the R function MSC1aio2008, part of the package nsRFA, is provided.

Introduction

The problem of model selection can be formalized as follows: a sample of n data, $D = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, arranged in ascending order is available, sampled from an unknown parent distribution f(x); N_m operating models, M_j , $j = 1, \ldots, N_m$, are used to represent the data. The operating models are in the form of probability distributions, $M_j = g_j(x, \hat{\theta})$, with parameters $\hat{\theta}$ estimated from the available data sample D. The scope of model selection is to identify the model M_{opt} which is better suited to represent the data, i.e. the model which is closer in some sense to the parent distribution f(x).

Three different model selection criteria are considered here, namely, the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC), and the Anderson-Darling Criterion (ADC). Of the three methods, the first two belong to the category of classical literature approaches, while the third derives from a heuristic interpretation of the results of a standard goodness-of-fit test (see Laio, 2004).

The R function MSClaio2008, part of the package nsRFA, is used on a data sample from the FEH database:

```
> data(FEH1000)
```

The data of site number 69023 are used here:

```
> sitedata <- am[am[,1]==69023, ]</pre>
```

whose series can be plotted with:

```
> serieplot(sitedata[,4], sitedata[,3], ylim=c(0,200),
+ xlab="year", ylab="Max annual peak [m3/s]")
```



Series of maximum annual flood peaks in station 69023.

Akalike Information Criterion

The Akaike information Criterion (AIC) for the j-th operational model can be computed as

$$AIC_j = -2\ln(L_j(\hat{\theta})) + 2p_j$$

where

$$L_j(\hat{\theta}) = \prod_{i=1}^n g_j(x_i, \hat{\theta})$$

is the likelihood function, evaluated at the point $\theta = \hat{\theta}$ corresponding to the maximum likelihood estimator of the parameter vector θ and p_j is the number of estimated parameter of the j-th operational model. In practice, after the computation of the AIC_j , for all of the operating models, one selects the model with the minimum AIC value, AIC_{min} . The application of the AIC method is performed by:

```
> MSC <- MSClaio2008(sitedata[,4], crit="AIC")</pre>
> MSC
          _____
Akaike Information Criterion (AIC):
 NORM
            LN
                GUMBEL
                           EV2
                                    GEV
                                             P3
                                                    LP3
400.7
         388.4
                 386.5
                          383.6
                                  385.6
                                                  384.9
                                          381.6
Summarizing the choice is:
> summary(MSC)
Tested distributions:
                                      GEV
                                                       LP3
[1] NORM
             LN
                     GUMBEL
                              EV2
                                              P3
_____
             _____
Chosen distributions:
```

AIC P3 whose Maximum-Likelihood parameters are: P3 parameters of x: 37.625 14.22391 1.842626

More information on the function MSClaio2008 can be obtained by:

> help(MSClaio2008)

When the sample size, n, is small, with respect to the number of estimated parameters, p, the AIC may perform inadequately. In those cases a second-order variant of AIC, called AICc, should be used:

$$AICc_j = -2\ln(L_j(\hat{\theta})) + 2p_j \frac{n}{n - p_j - 1}$$

Indicatively, AICc should be used when n/p < 40. The application of the AICc method is performed by:

```
> MSC <- MSClaio2008(sitedata[,4], crit="AICc")</pre>
> MSC
_____
Corrected Akaike Information Criterion (AICc):
 NORM
           LN GUMBEL
                         EV2
                                GEV
                                         P3
                                               LP3
401.0
        388.6
               386.8
                       383.9
                              386.2
                                      382.2
                                              385.4
> summary(MSC)
Tested distributions:
                                  GEV
                                          ΡЗ
[1] NORM
           LN
                   GUMBEL EV2
                                                 LP3
_____
Chosen distributions:
AICc
 ΡЗ
whose Maximum-Likelihood parameters are:
P3 parameters of x: 37.625 14.22391
                                   1.842626
```

Bayesian Information Criterion

The Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) for the j-th operational model reads

$$BIC_j = -2\ln(L_j(\hat{\theta})) + \ln(n)p_j$$

In practical application, after the computation of the BIC_j , for all of the operating models, one selects the model with the minimum BIC value, BIC_{min} . The application of the BIC method is performed by:

> MSC <- MSClaio2008(sitedata[,4], crit="BIC")
> MSC
-----Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC):

NORM	LN	GUMBEL	EV2	GEV	РЗ	LP3
404.3	392.0	390.1	387.2	391.0	387.0	390.3

```
> summary(MSC)
```

Tested distributions: [1] NORM LN GUMBEL EV2 GEV P3 LP3 ------Chosen distributions: BIC P3 whose Maximum-Likelihood parameters are: P3 parameters of x: 37.625 14.22391 1.842626

Anderson-Darling Criterion

The Anderson-Darling criterion has the form (see Laio et al., 2008; Di Baldassarre et al., 2008):

$$ADC_{j} = 0.0403 + 0.116 \left(\frac{\Delta_{AD,j} - \epsilon_{j}}{\beta_{j}}\right)^{\frac{\eta_{j}}{0.851}}$$

if $1.2\epsilon_i < \Delta_{AD,i}$,

$$ADC_j = \left[0.0403 + 0.116 \left(\frac{0.2\epsilon_j}{\beta_j} \right)^{\frac{\eta_j}{0.851}} \right] \frac{\Delta_{AD,j} - 0.2\epsilon_j}{\epsilon_j}$$

if $1.2\epsilon_j \ge \Delta_{AD,j}$, where $\Delta_{AD,j}$ is the discrepancy measure characterizing the criterion, the Anderson-Darling statistic:

$$\Delta_{AD,j} = -n - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[(2i-1) \ln \left[G_j(x_i, \theta) \right] + (2n+1-2i) \ln \left[1 - G_j(x_i, \theta) \right] \right]$$

and ϵ_j , β_j and η_j are distribution-dependent coefficients that are tabled by Laio (2004, Tables 3 and 5) for a set of seven distributions commonly employed for the frequency analysis of extreme events. In practice, after the computation of the ADC_j , for all of the operating models, one selects the model with the minimum ADC value, ADC_{min} . The application of the ADC method is performed by:

> MSC <- MSClaio2008(sitedata[,4], crit="ADC")
> MSC

Anderson-Darling Criterion (ADC): NORM LN GUMBEL GEV P3 LP3 EV2 1.46149 0.32650 0.22244 0.02999 0.03839 0.04394 0.02894 > summary(MSC) Tested distributions: [1] NORM GUMBEL EV2 GEV P3 LP3 LN ------Chosen distributions: ADC LP3 whose Maximum-Likelihood parameters are: P3 parameters of log(x): 3.511428 0.1452113 4.080334

The function MSClaio2008 can be applied for all the distributions and all the criteria:

> MSC <- MSClaio2008(sitedata[,4])</pre> > MSC _____ Akaike Information Criterion (AIC): NORM LNGUMBEL EV2 GEV PЗ LP3 400.7 388.4 386.5 383.6 385.6 381.6 384.9 -----Corrected Akaike Information Criterion (AICc): NORM LNGUMBEL EV2 GEV PЗ LP3 401.0 388.6 386.8 383.9 386.2 382.2 385.4 _____ Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC): NORM LNGUMBEL EV2 PЗ LP3 GEV 404.3 387.2 392.0 390.1 391.0 387.0 390.3 _____ Anderson-Darling Criterion (ADC): NORM LN GUMBEL EV2 GEV PЗ LP3 $1.46149 \quad 0.32650 \quad 0.22244 \quad 0.02999 \quad 0.03839$ 0.04394 0.02894 Summarizing the choices are: > summary(MSC) Tested distributions: [1] NORM LN GUMBEL EV2 GEV PЗ LP3 ------Chosen distributions: AIC AICc BIC ADC PЗ PЗ PЗ LP3 whose Maximum-Likelihood parameters are: P3 parameters of x: 37.625 14.22391 1.842626 P3 parameters of log(x): 3.511428 0.1452113 4.080334

The candidate distributions and the selected ones can be plotted in a log-normal probability plot:

> plot(MSC)



Data (Weibull plotting position) and candidate distributions in lognormal probability plot. The distributions selected by one criterion, at least, are plotted in black, the others in gray.

References

- Di Baldassarre, G., Laio, F., and Montanari, A. (2008). Design flood estimation using model selection criteria. Under review.
- Laio, F. (2004). Cramer-von mises and anderson-darling goodness of fit tests for extreme value distributions with unknown parameters. Water Resources Research, 40:W09308, doi:10.1029/2004WR003204.
- Laio, F., Di Baldassarre, G., and Montanari, A. (2008). Model selection techniques for the frequency analysis of hydrological extremes. Under review.